



Public consultation on draft revised registration standards and relevant guidelines

19 May 2014

Responses to consultation questions

Please provide your feedback as a Word document (not PDF) by email to podiatryconsultation@ahpra.gov.au by close of business on 14 July 2014.

Stakeholder Details

Organisation name
Whyalla Hospital and Health Service
Contact information <i>(please include contact person's name and email address)</i>
Ella Harrison

Registration standard: Continuing professional development (CPD) <i>Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below</i>
1. From your perspective how is the current CPD registration standard working?
I think the current CPD registration standard is working sufficiently however the requirement to complete CPR training through a registered training organisation (RTO) is time consuming. I am required to undertake Basic Life Support (BLS) training through my organisation and this is not recognised as a RTO and hence registration standards require me to undertake a separate training. It would be beneficial for my organisations BLS training to be recognised for my registration standards.
2. Is the content of the draft revised registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard?
Yes, I believe the requirements for CPD and CPR are relevant to podiatry clear to understand.
3. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised registration standard?
The wording under approved training organisations needs to be changed from 'a hospital that

Registration standard: Continuing professional development (CPD)	
<i>Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below</i>	
	provides training' to a 'hospital and/or health service'.
4. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised registration standard?	
	No
5. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised registration standard?	
	No
6. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every three years should be maintained or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. five years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?	
	I think the current review period is suitable.

Guidelines on continuing professional development (CPD)	
<i>Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below</i>	
7. From your perspective, how are the current guidelines on CPD working?	
	Well
8. Is the content of the draft revised guidelines helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current guidelines?	
	Yes
9. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised guidelines?	
	No
10. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised guidelines?	
	No
11. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised guidelines?	
	No

Please provide your feedback as a Word document (not PDF) by email to podiatryconsultation@ahpra.gov.au by close of business on 14 July 2014