

From: Jenna Crosland
To: [Podiatryconsultation](#)
Subject: Recency of Practice requirements - feedback
Date: Tuesday, 20 May 2014 9:13:59 AM

1. From your perspective, how is the current Recency of practice registration standard working?

As someone who works part time I have found it difficult to work out what is the acceptable hours required to maintain registration.

2. Is the content and structure of the draft revised registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard?

Yes.

3. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised registration standard?

As per question 4.

4. Do you have any comments on the minimum practice requirements in the draft revised registration standard?

Yes. The 3 years away from practice requirements (1 (b) & (c)) with regards to maternity leave. As an individual who is yet to pursue motherhood I feel the timeframe of 3 years is very restricting.

I do not feel it would be promoting strong family values if the women having children and wishing to be stay at home mums are required to be working before their first is even at school. (Just to maintain registration!)

I am under the belief that other Allied Health professions have a 5 year timeframe. I feel that this requirement needs to be reviewed.

I agree with the CPD points required in the 12 months prior to returning to work.

5. Do you think that the following alternative for minimum hours of practice would be better? (i.e without the option of 150 hours in the 12 month period prior to applying for registration or renewal of registration) - 450 hours of practice in the three year period prior to applying for registration or renewal of registration

I like the definition of a 'part time' role. Clear hours set helps those in part time roles. I am unsure what is meant by the 450hours in the last 3 years? Does that affect those who wish to take maternity leave?

6. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised standard?

Yes. Again with longer maternity leave!

7. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised registration standard?

No.

8. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every three years should be maintained or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. five years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?

That sounds fair.