

Public consultation on draft revised registration standards and relevant guidelines

19 May 2014

Responses to consultation questions

Please provide your feedback as a Word document (not PDF) by email to podiatryconsultation@ahpra.gov.au by close of business on 14 July 2014.

Stakeholder Details

Organisation name
Hunter NEW England Health
Contact information <i>(please include contact person's name and email address)</i>
Lucy Mammone Senior Podiatrist Aged Care and Rehabilitation

Your responses to the consultation questions

Registration standard: Professional indemnity insurance arrangements <i>Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below</i>
1. From your perspective how is the current Professional indemnity insurance (PII) arrangements registration standard working?
2. Is the content and structure of the draft revised registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard?
3. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised registration standard?
<i>What I must do 5) If you take out your own PLI policy you must do so with a reputable insurer regulated by the Australia Prudential Regulation Agency.</i> I have an issue with this as my insurance is through the Society of Chiropractors and Podiatrists in the UK. It is appropriate and fulfills current guide lines as far as I am aware and covers me to work in Australia. It has represented better value for money and is part of my membership fee for the Society of Chiropractors and Podiatrists .I do not know if the insurer would be regulated by <i>Australia Prudential Regulation Agency</i> . I think it would be very unfair to have to change this; pay membership but not be able to use the insurance and then have to pay insurance separately or have to give up my membership due to financial reasons so that I could afford insurance from an Australian

Registration standard: Professional indemnity insurance arrangements

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

Insurance company. Has The Board considered this and similar circumstances?

4. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised registration standard?

5. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised registration standard?

6. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every three years should be maintained or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. five years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?

Registration standard: Continuing professional development (CPD)

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

7. From your perspective how is the current CPD registration standard working?

8. Is the content of the draft revised registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard?

9. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised registration standard?

10. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised registration standard?

11. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised registration standard?

12. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every three years should be maintained or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. five years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?

Registration standard: Continuing professional development (CPD)

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

Guidelines on continuing professional development (CPD)

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

13. From your perspective, how are the current guidelines on CPD working?

14. Is the content of the draft revised guidelines helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current guidelines?

15. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised guidelines?

16. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised guidelines?

17. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised guidelines?

Registration standard: Recency of practice (ROP)

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

18. From your perspective how is the current Recency of practice registration standard working?

19. Is the content of the draft revised registration standard helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current registration standard?

20. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised registration standard?

Registration standard: Recency of practice (ROP)

Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below

21. Do you have any comments on the minimum practice requirements in the draft revised registration standard?

22. Do you think that the following alternative for minimum hours of practice would be better? (i.e without the option of 150 hours in the 12 month period prior to applying for registration or renewal of registration). Please provide the reason for your answer:

- *450 hours of practice in the three year period prior to applying for registration or renewal of registration*

23. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised registration standard?

24. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised registration standard?

25. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every three years should be maintained or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. five years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?

26. Do you have any comments on the draft *Guidelines about recency of practice?*

Please provide your feedback as a Word document (not PDF) by email to podiatryconsultation@ahpra.gov.au by close of business on 14 July 2014